

Clifton Community Input on the New Clifton School proposed by CPS at the Historic 1906 Building. Collected at the regular meeting held on April 3, 2017.

1. Can Clifton get access to Fairview now while old school is renovated?
2. Need traffic study for Clifton Ave.
3. Is it possible to add on to Fairview for now while school is renovated?
4. CPS has history of acting without notice, please ensure this is considered when negotiating with them.
5. How to prevent losing community support for the Fairview Clifton German Language School
6. Could the school lead to another attempt to widen Clifton Ave.? How can this be prevented?
7. All zoning changes or variances should be well vetted before they are granted.
8. Can access to the property be kept off Clifton Ave.? An member of the audience responded later in the meeting that the CRC property is owned by CPS and therefore probably a McAlpin access for some or all traffic may be possible.
9. How feasible is it for emergency vehicle access to the Old Clifton School?
10. How will CPS work with us, in a better way on Rawson property usage?
11. When will CCAC get the community funds back from CPS?
12. Did the school advisory group come out of the ED Committee? Was this ok?
13. What is CANNNS? (Clifton Advisory on New School?)
14. Is there a conflict of interest between CANNNS + CTM when positions might be in conflict?

15. CPS needs to make the appropriate investment into new school, how do we push this to make sure it happens?
16. Where will the money come from to do the renovations to the historic building, buy the adjacent property, and repay CCAC the investment from our community, etc.?
17. How do we ensure success for this new school?
19. A member of the CANS group stated they did not pursue the 1906 BLDG. This action was taken by CPS on its own.
20. Civil productive communication with CPS is vital for us to have influence.
21. Given past failures, how can CTM change its engagement model with CPS?
22. How can we move beyond strongly worded letters?